

## **Cambridge Assessment International Education**

Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary and Advanced Level

THINKING SKILLS 9694/22

Paper 2 Critical Thinking

October/November 2017

MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 45

## **Published**

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2017 series for most Cambridge IGCSE<sup>®</sup>, Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

 ${\rm \rlap{R}\hskip-1pt B}$  IGCSE is a registered trademark.

Cambridge Assessment
International Education

This document consists of 9 printed pages.

[Turn over

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	Limited significance [1]. Although the money referred to by Bland could well be the proceeds from selling his shares in Alpha Holdings [1], and the plausibility of this is strengthened by the date of the email [1], it offers no evidence that this sale is due to insider trading [1]. Also, we cannot be sure that the money referred to is from the sale of these shares [1].	3
1(b)	Not useful [1] (in Bland's defence).	3
	It is unreliable as Bland's lawyers will have a vested interest to lie or distort the truth [1]	
	We do not know what proportion of these sales was due to Bland selling his shares [1]. It is possible that all or the vast bulk of these shares were Bland's, given the amount of money he gained as evidenced in Source A [1].	
	The fact that many shares were sold on the day in question might indicate that the insider information was widely leaked i.e. that Bland was not the only offender but this does not show him to be innocent [1]	
	Alternatively Quite useful [1]. The fact that Bland waited for two days after the newspaper article before selling his shares might indicate Bland's innocence [1] (if one supposes that their value was likely to go down in that time).	
1(c)	It could be relevant [1]. Bland's amoral attitude and willingness to take risks could mean he is willing to do inside trading [1] but we cannot assume this [1] However, even if he had no inner moral restraints about insider trading he might still be deterred by the threat of going to prison [1] – which is mentioned as the penalty for insider trading in Source A. Also, his reference to risk-taking may apply purely in the sense of gambling with money rather than risk in a broader sense [1].	3

Question		Answer	Marks
1(d)	Level 3 5–6 marks	A strong answer, which provides a reasoned argument including thorough evaluation of all or most of the evidence to support an acceptable conclusion in terms of probability and evaluates the plausibility of at least one alternative conclusion.	6
	Level 2 3–4 marks	An answer which evaluates some of the evidence, draws an acceptable conclusion in terms of probability and may mention the plausibility of at least one alternative conclusion.	
	Level 1 1–2 marks	A weak answer, which refers to some of the evidence, possibly including a simple evaluative comment. The conclusion may be unstated or over-stated.	
	Level 0 0 marks	No credit-worthy material.	
	Indicative of	content	
	Possible an	swers:	
	pro Bla Bla trad	and is not guilty and was simply securing his gains possibly ompted by the rumours.  and is guilty and knew the talks were going to collapse the next day.  and actually started the rumours to provide a cover for his insider ding  and started the rumours and scuppered the deal to secure his gains.	
	the collapse other hand, it had been days before before peop 11th hour si rumours is a rumours to collapsed at the last min- idea that Bla	roblem for Bland is explaining the timing of his sale the day before e. It seems fortuitous if this was just a normal sale of shares. On the because it looks so suspicious to sell the shares the day before, if a case of insider trading by Bland he might have done this a few to allay suspicion. This would also have the advantage of selling ble acted on the rumours. The fact that he delays acting until the suggests he wasn't the source of the rumours. The source of the a crucial question and Bland could have a motive to spread such cover his tracks. Also, we need to know more about why the deal and whether Bland had a hand in this. If it collapsed unexpectedly at ute it is difficult to see how Bland could have foreseen this. The land deliberately scuppered the deal seems implausible if it is true were expected to go on rising once the deal had gone through.	

© UCLES 2017 Page 3 of 9

Question	Answer	Marks		
1(d)	Notes for the guidance of markers			
	Simple supported conclusion 1 (if no conclusion cap at Level 2)			
	+ simple consideration of alternative +1 AND reasoned rejection of alternative +1			
	+ explicit use of some (3 or fewer) sources of evidence +1 OR explicit use of all or most (4 or more) sources of evidence +2			
	+ critical evaluation of evidence +1 or (more than one case) +2 + good inferential reasoning +1 or (more than one case) +2			
	Max 6			

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	1 mark for each plausible reason, for example:	3
	It is not possible to compare specific 'laser eye treatment' with unspecific dental procedures.  It is not possible to compare widespread routine dental procedures with a minority non-routine procedure like laser eye surgery.  We don't know if the chance of something going wrong is at all similar for eye surgery and dentistry.  We don't have enough information to judge the relative necessity of these procedures.  The severity of the consequences of something going wrong is probably greater in eye surgery than in dentistry.  Teeth can be replaced but eyes cannot.	
2(b)	Not good at all [1]. It fails to have any impact on the point about needing reading glasses in later life [1]. It also fails to have any impact on the point about the risk of contact sports [1].	3
2(c)	1 mark for each explanation identified (max 2):	3
	The statistics are a result of the procedure being carried out incompetently by their cheaper rivals.  The statistics are a result of the procedure being carried out on inappropriate people.  The after-effects are self-reported, so the numbers are likely to over-represent the occurrence of such problems.  No timeframe is given; these after-effects could be only temporary (and so arguably not serious).  There may be effective treatment for the problems identified These eye problems may be being wrongly attributed to the eye surgery.  1 mark for a clear outline (see below) of why they might be used:  All these explanations would suggest that there is little or nothing wrong with	
	All these explanations would suggest that there is little or nothing wrong with the actual procedure. Any problems are temporary/treatable.	

© UCLES 2017 Page 4 of 9

Question		Answer	Marks
2(d)	Level 3 5–6 marks	A reasoned argument, which uses and evaluates all or most of the evidence provided.	6
	Level 2 3–4 marks	A simple argument, which uses and/or evaluates evidence.	
	Level 1 1–2 marks	A weak answer, which makes some correct reference to evidence but consists of opinion and/or assertion rather than argument <b>or</b> a weak argument which makes no reference to evidence.	
	Level 0 0 marks	No credit-worthy material.	
	Indicative of	content	
	Source A		
	Hic	phly popular procedure	
	Co	mparable in risk to other medical procedures	
	Ho	wever from a biased source	
	Source B		
	No Ab Ho	ading glasses will still be needed in later life t suitable for people involved in contact sports ove points undermine claims in last sentence of Source A wever, reading glasses might not be needed at all times e degree of risk re contact sports is unknown	
	Source C		
	Tre	dence for a number of problems after laser eye treatment eatment is irreversible eatment is unnecessary	
	Source D		
	If tr trea OK Ho The	% report they can see perfectly well rue, suggests those who have problems are either unsuitable for atment or have suffered incompetent treatment. The procedure is wever this point fails to address the issues raised in Source B above statistic also fails to challenge the fact that the procedure is eversible	

© UCLES 2017 Page 5 of 9

Question	Answer	Marks
2(d)	Notes for the guidance of markers	
	Simple supported conclusion 1 OR nuanced conclusion 2	
	+ <u>use</u> of 1 or 2 sources +1 OR <u>use</u> of all or most (3 or more) sources of evidence +2 not just mentioning or summarising or comprehension	
	+ critical evaluation of evidence +1 or (more than one case) +2	
	+ good inferential reasoning +1 or (more than one case) +2 not speculation	
	+ personal thinking +1	
	Max 6	

© UCLES 2017 Page 6 of 9

Question	Answer	Marks
3(a)	2 marks: We should encourage people to participate in sports in order to improve their health 1 mark: We should encourage people to participate in sports.	2
3(b)	1 mark for each of the following, to a maximum of 3 marks:  A society in which the vast majority of people participated in sport would put less strain on hospital accident and emergency units. Spending on health would be kept under control. (So) sport brings economic benefits.  Sports injuries are a price worth paying.  (We must conclude that) there is no substitute for sport as a means of keeping fit.  Allow one additional element or one significant omission in each case. If more than three answers are offered, mark the first four only.	3

© UCLES 2017 Page 7 of 9

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	Marks for each evaluative point as follows, up to a maximum of 5 marks:	5
	2 marks: Valid evaluative point, clearly expressed. 1 mark: Weak attempt at a valid evaluative point.	
	Paragraph 1	
	Assumption – all sports involve physical activity. Assumption – participation in sport would not encourage over-eating. Assumption – heart disease and diabetes can be relieved through losing weight.	
	Paragraph 2	
	Assumption – people with long term health conditions are frequent users of accident and emergency units.	
	Assumption –reduction in use of A and E by people with obesity etc will be greater than the increase in sports related injuries.  Flaw – a healthier population is not a sufficient condition for keeping health spending under control.	
	Paragraph 3	
	Inconsistency: it is possible that a competitive mindset might be bad for health / undermine health benefits of sport.  The support given to the MC by this IC is vague and inaccurate.  Equivocation in use of the word 'competing'	
	Paragraph 4	
	Inconsistency – points here undermine reasoning in paragraph 2.  Ambiguity – 'price worth paying' could be true in a figurative sense for the individual but not true for economics of health care.  Incoherence: it is not clear how getting injured would not undermine the physical benefits of participation in sports.	
	Paragraph 5	
	Assumption – non-sporting activities do not build character in other ways.  Flaw – generalisation; 'team spirit' only applies to team sports.  Flaw – post hoc. Assumed causal relationship between participation is sport and successful careers.  Flaw – the intermediate conclusion about keeping fit does not follow from the reasoning used.	n
	General evaluative point: Much of the reasoning only supports the first part of the conclusion rather than the specific conclusion 'in order to improve health'. (Do not credit in addition to the same point made specifically about the IC of paragraph 3.)	

© UCLES 2017 Page 8 of 9

Question		Answer	Marks
3(d)	Level 3 4–5 marks	Developed, coherent argument. Reasons strongly support conclusion. Development may include intermediate conclusion or apt examples.  Simply structured argument – 4 marks.  Effective use of IC etc. – 5 marks.	5
	Level 2 2–3 marks	A simple argument. One reason + conclusion – 2 marks. Two or more separate reasons + conclusion – 3 marks.	
	Level 1 1 mark	Some relevant comment.	
	Level 0 0 marks	No relevant comment.	
	stated. No credit fo	marks for wrong conclusion or if conclusion is implied but not r material merely reproduced from the passage. level 3 answers	
	Support (11	8 words)	
	commercial ownership of as a way of prices so an also drawn are involved manufacture know the plant of the commercial ownership ownership of the commercial ownership of the commercial ownership of the commercial ownership ownershi	is to screen sporting events has been a key contributor to the over- isation of sport. Ticket prices have increased massively as of sporting clubs has passed to businessmen who see them mainly making money. Many ordinary fans cannot afford these ticket e deprived of the right to see live sport. Sportsmen and women are into this world where making money becomes the key focus. They in lucrative sponsorship deals with leading sports goods ers. Firms are only willing to pay these huge sums because they ayer will be seen by millions of people on television. So television egative impact on sport.	
	Challenge (	115 words)	
	due to lucra people muc televising sp generalisati possible that people inter television has	e sports, like football, have become increasingly commercialised tive television deals, sport is played at a local level by ordinary h as it has always been. Only at the very top professional level has bort had an impact on its nature. So it would be a massive on to say television has had a negative impact on sport. It is also at watching sport on television has made a significant number of tested in participating in a sport in the first place. So, in this case, as actually had a positive impact on sport. So it is not true that has had a negative impact on sport.	

© UCLES 2017 Page 9 of 9